SETSCI Conference Proceedings
SETSCI Conference Proceedings 20, 37-41, 2024

6th International Symposium on Innovation in Architecture, Planning and Design
9-10 November 2024, Ankara, Türkiye

https://doi.org/10.36287/setsci.20.5.037
2687-5527/ © 2024 The Authors. Published by SETSCI

SIAP2024

AI-Driven Approaches to Enhance Energy Efficiency in Heritage Architecture: A Review

Ali Berkay Avci[1*]

[1]*Department of Architecture, Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Türkiye*
(aliavci@sdu.edu.tr)

Abstract – This review explores the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in enhancing energy efficiency within heritage buildings, focusing on balancing sustainability goals with the preservation of historical and architectural integrity. AI technologies such as Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS), digital twins, and reinforcement learning provide innovative solutions to optimize energy use while minimizing physical interventions. Heritage buildings pose unique challenges for energy retrofits due to structural and regulatory constraints, but AI-driven tools offer non-invasive strategies that align with conservation principles. By predicting energy consumption patterns, facilitating adaptive climate control, and improving predictive maintenance, AI technologies can ensure that energy efficiency goals are met without compromising the building's historical character. The review also addresses ethical considerations, such as data privacy and the cultural implications of AI interventions in heritage structures. This study highlights the potential for AI to revolutionize energy retrofitting in heritage architecture, providing a roadmap for future research on the integration of AI with sustainable building practices.

Keywords – Artificial Intelligence, Energy Efficiency, Heritage Buildings, BEMS, Digital Twins, Sustainable Retrofitting

I. INTRODUCTION

Energy efficiency has become a critical focus in contemporary architectural practice, particularly as the demand for more sustainable and environmentally friendly buildings has grown. Buildings are significant consumers of energy, with the building sector accounting for roughly 40% of global energy usage [1]. This high consumption highlights the necessity for innovative design strategies that minimize energy use while maintaining occupant comfort. Among the most effective strategies are passive design solutions, which use natural environmental conditions (such as sunlight and wind) for heating, cooling, and lighting, reducing the need for mechanical systems [2]. Incorporating these strategies during the architectural design phase is essential for long-term sustainability, as design decisions have a lasting impact on a building's energy performance [3].

Contemporary architectural practices have also begun to emphasize the importance of optimizing the building envelope, which is the physical separator between the conditioned interior and unconditioned exterior environments [4]. A well-designed building envelope, including the strategic use of materials, window-to-wall ratios, and shading elements, can significantly enhance energy performance by reducing heat transfer and air leakage [5], [6]. Moreover, bioclimatic design principles, which prioritize the integration of local climate conditions into building design, offer substantial energy savings while maintaining comfort[7]. Such approaches ensure that architecture not only responds to environmental needs but also contributes to broader efforts to mitigate climate change through reduced energy consumption.

Retrofitting heritage buildings to improve energy performance presents a unique set of challenges, primarily due to the need to preserve their historical integrity [8]. One of the most significant difficulties is the balance between improving energy efficiency and maintaining the aesthetic and structural authenticity of these buildings [9], [10]. Heritage buildings often rely on traditional construction methods that differ from contemporary techniques, leading to unique physical characteristics such as thick walls, irregular geometries, and permeable building envelopes [8]. These features make it difficult to apply standard energy retrofit solutions like insulation or window upgrades without altering the building's visual and material character [8], [11]. Moreover, the cultural and historical value attached to these buildings frequently places legal constraints on the types of alterations that can be made, further complicating retrofit efforts [12], [13].

In addition to technical challenges, financial and regulatory hurdles also pose barriers to energy retrofits in heritage buildings [14]. Retrofitting historic structures often requires specialized materials and techniques, which can significantly increase costs [13]. Furthermore, the planning and approval process for such projects can be lengthy, as it requires compliance with strict heritage conservation regulations that prioritize the preservation of the building's original features over the adoption of energy-saving technologies [10], [15]. The complexity of these projects is compounded by the need for a multidisciplinary approach, integrating expertise from conservationists, architects, and engineers to ensure that both energy performance and heritage conservation goals are met [9], [16].

Artificial intelligence (AI) is playing a growing role in optimizing energy use in architectural design, particularly through the use of advanced algorithms and data-driven models that enhance energy management. In contemporary buildings, AI techniques such as machine learning (ML) and deep learning can analyze vast amounts of data from sensors to predict energy consumption patterns and optimize heating, cooling, and lighting systems accordingly [17], [18]. AI-based systems, like Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS), help manage the complex interplay between indoor environmental conditions and energy use, ensuring energy efficiency while maintaining occupant comfort [19], [20]. These systems can also adapt in real time to changes in building use or environmental conditions, thus providing dynamic optimization that surpasses traditional methods [21].

When applied in heritage contexts, AI offers transformative possibilities for improving energy efficiency without compromising historical integrity. AI models can integrate with digital twins—virtual representations of physical buildings—to simulate energy performance and predict the impact of retrofit measures [22]. This allows heritage buildings to benefit from energy-saving technologies while preserving their historical features. Furthermore, AI can facilitate non-invasive retrofitting strategies by using data analytics to identify opportunities for energy optimization through minimal physical alterations [23], [24]. This approach is critical in ensuring that energy retrofits align with conservation principles, striking a balance between modernization and the preservation of cultural heritage [22].

AI technologies hold potential for enhancing energy efficiency in both contemporary and heritage buildings. By leveraging intelligent systems that analyze real-time data and simulate building performance, AI enables significant reductions in energy consumption while maintaining occupant comfort. In the context of heritage buildings, AI offers solutions that respect the architectural and historical value of these structures, employing non-invasive strategies that align with conservation principles. As AI continues to evolve, its role in addressing the complex challenges of sustainable retrofitting will become increasingly essential. This leads to a broader discussion on the need for energy efficiency in heritage architecture, where historical context and current regulations often limit retrofit possibilities. In the following section, these conservation guidelines and the challenges of applying energy standards without compromising the authenticity of heritage structures is explored in detail.

II. The Need for Energy Efficiency in Heritage Architecture

Conservation guidelines often place significant limitations on energy retrofits in heritage buildings to ensure that their historical, cultural, and architectural values are preserved. In many cases, retrofitting must be conducted in a way that avoids altering the building's original materials, structure, or appearance. For example, in Italy, where much of the architectural heritage predates 1945, regulations restrict interventions that would modify the external appearance or internal layout of historical buildings [8], [25]. The European Union's Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD) and its recast (2010/31/EU) acknowledge the importance of historical preservation by allowing exemptions for buildings of architectural or historical significance. These buildings can be excluded from modern energy efficiency requirements if compliance would result in unacceptable changes to their fabric or appearance [26], [27].

Despite such exemptions, the growing recognition of the need for sustainability has led to an evolving discussion around how to achieve energy efficiency without compromising heritage. In Sweden, for example, the Planning and Building Act allows for a nuanced approach, where energy retrofits must consider the unique characteristics of historical buildings, balancing the need for conservation with sustainability goals [27]. International frameworks, such as the UNESCO Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, provide an additional layer of protection for heritage buildings, reinforcing the importance of retaining original materials and construction methods [28]. However, these stringent guidelines can create conflicts between achieving energy efficiency and maintaining the authenticity and integrity of heritage buildings [8], [29].

Applying new energy standards to heritage buildings presents a variety of challenges due to the structural, aesthetic, and cultural considerations inherent in historic properties. One of the primary issues is the incompatibility of contemporary energy-efficient technologies with the traditional materials and construction methods used in heritage buildings. For instance, many heritage structures lack insulation or vapor barriers, and they rely on natural ventilation and thermal mass to manage indoor climates. The introduction of modern insulation materials or HVAC systems can disrupt these passive climate control methods, potentially leading to moisture buildup and structural damage, as discussed by Webb [8]. Moreover, energy retrofitting measures such as external insulation, solar panels, or new window systems are often deemed unsuitable for heritage buildings because they alter the building's visual character, which is protected by conservation principles [8], [30].

In addition to the technical challenges, there are also regulatory constraints. Many countries have strict laws governing alterations to historic buildings, which limit the scope of retrofitting. These laws, aimed at preserving the cultural and historical significance of heritage structures, often exempt such buildings from contemporary energy efficiency regulations. However, this exemption can leave these buildings lagging behind in terms of energy performance, which conflicts with the sustainability goals. In some cases, regulations require a case-by-case evaluation to balance conservation needs with energy efficiency upgrades [10], [31]. As Tejani (2021) pointed out, the installation of energy-efficient systems like modern HVACs can be challenging without affecting the building's original aesthetic, further complicating the retrofitting process [32]. The complex interplay between regulatory guidelines, conservation needs, and technological limitations underscores the difficulties in applying energy standards to heritage buildings.

III. AI Technologies for Enhancing Energy Efficiency

AI technologies have become a transformative tool in managing and optimizing energy use in buildings, particularly through predictive control systems and energy consumption forecasting [17]. AI-driven Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS) utilize advanced algorithms, such as machine learning models, to predict energy needs based on real-time data, thereby optimizing the operation of heating, cooling, and lighting systems [33]. These systems dynamically adjust to external and internal environmental conditions, improving overall energy efficiency. Predictive control models can anticipate changes in weather or occupancy, reducing the need for reactive energy use and optimizing energy flow across systems in the building. In historic structures, these systems can play an even more crucial role by ensuring that energy usage is minimized while still maintaining thermal comfort [20], thus protecting the building's fragile structure from excessive heating or cooling [8], [27].

AI-based systems can provide detailed energy consumption forecasting [34]. By analyzing large data sets, AI models can predict long-term energy consumption trends and help building managers make decisions on when to reduce consumption or apply energy-saving measures. AI-driven climate control systems in smart buildings monitor parameters such as temperature, humidity, and ventilation, which ensures energy is used efficiently and the specific needs of the building is adapted. In heritage contexts, AI's ability to fine-tune climate control in real-time is invaluable, as it prevents unnecessary strain on delicate building materials while maintaining a stable indoor environment [32], [35]. These AI systems offer the possibility to retrofit heritage buildings without needing invasive physical interventions, aligning with the principles of conservation.

AI also plays a critical role in predictive maintenance, particularly for heritage buildings where structural integrity is a primary concern. AI-based monitoring systems can track various parameters such as air quality, humidity, and energy consumption patterns in real time, identifying anomalies that may indicate potential system failures or inefficiencies [36]. Using machine learning algorithms, AI can predict when maintenance is needed, thus preventing costly damage to both energy systems and the building structure [31]. For instance, digital twin technology (a virtual representation of the physical building) integrates with AI to simulate and monitor building performance, ensuring that any structural or energy-related issues are detected early [8].

In heritage buildings, where intrusive maintenance can damage historical materials, AI offers a non-invasive method to maintain optimal energy performance while preserving the building's integrity. AI-driven predictive maintenance reduces the risk of system failures, which could otherwise result in sudden environmental changes that negatively impact the structure. Additionally, this technology can help prioritize interventions, which ensures the maintenance work is only performed when absolutely necessary and with minimal disruption to the building. The ability of AI to optimize maintenance schedules based on real-time data and historical trends can extend the lifespan of both the building's energy systems and its structural components [30], [32].

IV. Balancing Heritage Conservation and Energy Efficiency Using AI

One of the primary challenges in retrofitting heritage buildings lies in the inherent conflict between preserving their historical and architectural value and improving their energy efficiency. Heritage buildings often feature unique materials and construction methods that contribute to their cultural significance, but these same features pose obstacles to energy-saving measures [12]. For example, the introduction of insulation or energy-efficient windows can alter the building's appearance, which is strictly regulated by conservation laws [16]. Retrofitting projects that introduce advanced energy-efficient systems like HVAC or PV panels risk damaging the original structure or disturbing the historical integrity of the building. Thus, it is difficult to comply with both sustainability goals and conservation requirements [37]. Balancing these needs demands careful planning and a willingness to accept trade-offs between reducing energy consumption and preserving historical authenticity.

In many cases, regulatory frameworks provide exemptions for heritage buildings from modern energy standards, as these standards are often incompatible with conservation laws[9]. However, this exemption can result in heritage buildings falling behind in energy performance, and eventually contributing to higher operational costs and carbon emissions [38]. This presents a dilemma, as ignoring energy efficiency improvements undermines efforts to meet climate goals, while imposing these changes risks damaging invaluable cultural assets[11], [12]. The tension between energy-saving measures and the preservation of heritage features remains a significant barrier to the sustainable retrofitting of historic buildings.

AI offers a promising solution to these challenges by providing adaptive retrofit strategies that are non-invasive and tailored to the specific characteristics of heritage buildings [36]. AI-driven tools, such as Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS) and Historic Building Information Modeling (HBIM), can optimize the energy performance of heritage structures by simulating various retrofit options and identifying those that have minimal impact on the building's appearance and structure [37]. For example, AI can predict energy consumption patterns based on historical data and propose solutions like smart climate control, which improves thermal comfort without requiring invasive structural changes [11], [16]. By integrating AI with digital twin technologies, heritage buildings can be modeled in virtual environments, allowing planners to test retrofit measures and evaluate their impact before implementation [12].

AI can facilitate the selection of passive design strategies that harmonize with the existing features of heritage buildings. For instance, AI can be used to optimize natural ventilation or solar shading systems that leverage the building's original design elements, such as courtyard layouts or thick stone walls, to reduce energy consumption while preserving historical authenticity [3], [6]. This adaptive approach not only ensures compliance with conservation regulations but also enhances the building's sustainability, extending its lifespan and reducing its environmental footprint. The integration of AI in heritage retrofitting presents a significant opportunity to overcome the trade-offs between conservation and energy efficiency, ensuring that these cultural assets are preserved for future generations while contributing to climate goals.

V. The Future of AI in Heritage Conservation for Energy Efficiency

Emerging AI technologies hold great potential for revolutionizing energy efficiency in heritage buildings. One of the most promising advancements is the integration of digital twins with AI, creating virtual replicas of heritage buildings that simulate energy use and structural behavior in real-time [22]. These digital twins enable non-invasive testing of retrofit solutions, allowing architects and engineers to predict the energy impact of various interventions without physically altering the building. Such systems use data from sensors installed within the building and external environmental sources to forecast energy needs, detect inefficiencies, and propose optimization strategies [18], [22]. AI-driven digital twin models provide heritage buildings with smart, adaptive energy management systems that balance the need for energy efficiency with the conservation of cultural and architectural integrity [22].

In addition to digital twins, reinforcement learning is another emerging AI trend that could significantly enhance energy efficiency. Reinforcement learning algorithms, particularly when applied to solar microgrids, have shown the potential to optimize energy use through dynamic decision-making systems [39]. This technology can be applied to heritage buildings with on-site renewable energy sources, optimizing energy flow while reducing reliance on external grids. Reinforcement learning allows for real-time adjustments to energy systems, reducing waste while preserving building materials and minimizing the risk of invasive retrofits[39]. As AI technologies continue to evolve, their application in heritage buildings will not only improve energy performance but also create opportunities for more sustainable and intelligent conservation practices.

While AI offers innovative solutions for enhancing energy efficiency in heritage buildings, it also raises important ethical concerns. The integration of AI into historic structures necessitates a careful balance between technological advancements and the preservation of cultural heritage. One key concern is ensuring that AI-driven retrofits remain compatible with the historical and architectural values of the building. Over-reliance on AI could lead to solutions that prioritize energy efficiency over heritage conservation, potentially resulting in the loss of a building's unique character [15], [40]. Preservationists argue that any AI-driven interventions must align with established conservation principles, such as minimal intervention and reversibility, to avoid irreversible damage to the building's fabric [15].

Furthermore, the use of AI in heritage conservation raises questions about data privacy and ownership. The continuous monitoring of buildings via sensors to gather data for AI systems could lead to concerns about the management and protection of that data. In addition, the use of AI technologies in public or religious heritage buildings might bring debates about whether technological interventions align with the cultural or symbolic meanings of the structure[8], [10]. Therefore, future developments in AI-driven heritage conservation must incorporate ethical guidelines that prioritize both the protection of cultural heritage and the privacy rights of building occupants and owners. Balancing technological innovation with ethical and cultural sensitivity will be key to ensuring the successful and responsible use of AI in the conservation of heritage buildings [18], [21].

VI. Conclusion

In this review, the transformative role of AI in enhancing energy efficiency within heritage buildings were explored while addressing the unique challenges posed by conservation requirements. AI technologies, such as Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS), digital twins, and reinforcement learning algorithms, provide sophisticated tools for optimizing energy use without compromising the structural or aesthetic integrity of heritage structures. These innovations enable non-invasive retrofit solutions, predictive maintenance, and adaptive energy management, all of which are critical for balancing the dual objectives of sustainability and conservation. As demonstrated, AI-driven technologies not only improve energy performance but also extend the lifespan of heritage buildings by minimizing the need for disruptive physical interventions.

Despite the significant potential of AI, its application in heritage buildings is not without challenges. Ethical considerations surrounding data privacy, technological overreach, and the preservation of cultural values must be carefully managed to ensure that AI solutions align with conservation principles. Additionally, the limitations posed by existing conservation regulations highlight the need for a more integrated approach that recognizes both the environmental and historical significance of heritage buildings. As AI technologies continue to evolve, their integration into heritage conservation must be guided by policies that prioritize the protection of cultural heritage while fostering energy efficiency.

Further research is required to refine the application of AI in heritage buildings. First, more studies should focus on the development of AI models that can simulate energy retrofits without altering the building's historical features. Additionally, there is a need for ethical frameworks that govern the use of AI in heritage conservation, ensuring that technological advancements do not undermine cultural integrity. Lastly, future research should explore the potential of integrating AI with renewable energy sources in heritage buildings, such as solar microgrids, to further reduce carbon footprints while preserving their unique characteristics. By addressing these areas, AI can play an increasingly central role in the sustainable conservation of heritage buildings.

References

[1] R. Fallahtafti and M. Mahdavinejad, "Optimisation of building shape and orientation for better energy efficient architecture," Int. J. Energy Sect. Manag., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 593–618, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.1108/IJESM-09-2014-0001.

[2] B. Bajcinovci and F. Jerliu, "Achieving Energy Efficiency in Accordance with Bioclimatic Architecture Principles," Environ. Clim. Technol., vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 54–63, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1515/rtuect-2016-0013.

[3] H. Sozer, "Improving energy efficiency through the design of the building envelope," Build. Environ., vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 2581–2593, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2010.05.004.

[4] H. Omrany, A. Ghaffarianhoseini, A. Ghaffarianhoseini, K. Raahemifar, and J. Tookey, "Application of passive wall systems for improving the energy efficiency in buildings: A comprehensive review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 62, pp. 1252–1269, Sep. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.04.010.

[5] Ş. G. Beyhan and A. B. Avcı, "Investigation of Buildings in Alaçatı in Terms of Energy Efficiency in Architecture," Iconarp Int. J. Archit. Plan., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 606–629, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.15320/ICONARP.2020.129.

[6] A. B. Avci and S. G. Beyhan, "Revealing the Climate-Responsive Strategies of Traditional Houses of Urla, İzmir," Int. J. Sustain. Build. Technol. Urban Dev., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 18–34, 2023, doi: 10.22712/susb.20230003.

[7] M. Beccali, V. Strazzeri, M. L. Germanà, V. Melluso, and A. Galatioto, "Vernacular and bioclimatic architecture and indoor thermal comfort implications in hot-humid climates: An overview," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 82, no. xxxx, pp. 1726–1736, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.062.

[8] A. L. Webb, "Energy retrofits in historic and traditional buildings: A review of problems and methods," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 77, pp. 748–759, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.145.

[9] K. Fouseki and M. Cassar, "Energy Efficiency in Heritage Buildings — Future Challenges and Research Needs," Hist. Environ. Policy Pract., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 95–100, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1179/1756750514Z.00000000058.

[10] L. Dias Pereira, V. Tavares, and N. Soares, "Up-To-Date Challenges for the Conservation, Rehabilitation and Energy Retrofitting of Higher Education Cultural Heritage Buildings," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 2061, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13042061.

[11] A. Martínez-Molina, I. Tort-Ausina, S. Cho, and J.-L. Vivancos, "Energy efficiency and thermal comfort in historic buildings: A review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 61, pp. 70–85, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.03.018.

[12] A. Khalil, N. Hammouda, and K. El-Deeb, "Implementing Sustainability in Retrofitting Heritage Buildings. Case Study: Villa Antoniadis, Alexandria, Egypt," Heritage, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 57–87, May 2018, doi: 10.3390/heritage1010006.

[13] C. Panakaduwa, P. Coates, and M. Munir, "Identifying sustainable retrofit challenges of historical Buildings: A systematic review," Energy Build., vol. 313, p. 114226, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2024.114226.

[14] S. K. Güleroğlu, M. E. Karagüler, İ. Kahraman, and E. S. Umdu, "Methodological approach for performance assessment of historical buildings based on seismic, energy and cost performance: A Mediterranean case," J. Build. Eng., vol. 31, p. 101372, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101372.

[15] Z. Sigmund, "Sustainability in architectural heritage: review of policies and practices," Organ. Technol. Manag. Constr. an Int. J., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1411–1421, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1515/otmcj-2016-0007.

[16] F. Berg, A.-C. Flyen, Å. L. Godbolt, and T. Broström, "User-driven energy efficiency in historic buildings: A review," J. Cult. Herit., vol. 28, pp. 188–195, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.culher.2017.05.009.

[17] J. Aguilar, A. Garces-Jimenez, M. D. R-Moreno, and R. García, "A systematic literature review on the use of artificial intelligence in energy self-management in smart buildings," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 151, p. 111530, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2021.111530.

[18] R. O. Yussuf and O. S. Asfour, "Applications of artificial intelligence for energy efficiency throughout the building lifecycle: An overview," Energy Build., vol. 305, p. 113903, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2024.113903.

[19] A. I. Dounis, "Artificial intelligence for energy conservation in buildings," Adv. Build. Energy Res., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 267–299, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.3763/aber.2009.0408.

[20] A. B. Avci, "Exploring Quantitative Analysis of Thermal Comfort in Architecture as a Positivist Research Paradigm," in Architecture Applications, 1st ed., M. DAL, Ed. Ankara: Bidge Publications, 2024, pp. 5–32.

[21] H. Farzaneh, L. Malehmirchegini, A. Bejan, T. Afolabi, A. Mulumba, and P. P. Daka, "Artificial Intelligence Evolution in Smart Buildings for Energy Efficiency," Appl. Sci., vol. 11, no. 2, p. 763, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.3390/app11020763.

[22] Z. Ni, P. Eriksson, Y. Liu, M. Karlsson, and S. Gong, "Improving energy efficiency while preserving historic buildings with digital twins and artificial intelligence," IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci., vol. 863, no. 1, p. 012041, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/863/1/012041.

[23] M. Arun, G. Gopan, S. Vembu, D. U. Ozsahin, H. Ahmad, and M. F. Alotaibi, "Internet of things and deep learning-enhanced monitoring for energy efficiency in older buildings," Case Stud. Therm. Eng., vol. 61, p. 104867, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.csite.2024.104867.

[24] N. Bocaneala, M. Mayouf, E. Vakaj, and M. Shelbourn, "Artificial Intelligence Based Methods for Retrofit Projects: A Review of Applications and Impacts," Arch. Comput. Methods Eng., Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s11831-024-10159-7.

[25] A. Galatioto, G. Ciulla, and R. Ricciu, "An overview of energy retrofit actions feasibility on Italian historical buildings," Energy, vol. 137, pp. 991–1000, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2016.12.103.

[26] L. Mazzarella, "Energy retrofit of historic and existing buildings. The legislative and regulatory point of view," Energy Build., vol. 95, pp. 23–31, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.10.073.

[27] S. Lidelöw, T. Örn, A. Luciani, and A. Rizzo, "Energy-efficiency measures for heritage buildings: A literature review," Sustain. Cities Soc., vol. 45, pp. 231–242, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2018.09.029.

[28] G. Carbonara, "Energy efficiency as a protection tool," Energy Build., vol. 95, pp. 9–12, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.12.052.

[29] A. Buda et al., "Conservation-Compatible Retrofit Solutions in Historic Buildings: An Integrated Approach," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 2927, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13052927.

[30] G. Nair, L. Verde, and T. Olofsson, "A Review on Technical Challenges and Possibilities on Energy Efficient Retrofit Measures in Heritage Buildings," Energies, vol. 15, no. 20, p. 7472, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15207472.

[31] L. de Santoli, "Guidelines on energy efficiency of cultural heritage," Energy Build., vol. 86, pp. 534–540, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.10.050.

[32] A. Tejani, "Integrating Energy-Efficient HVAC Systems into Historical Buildings: Challenges and Solutions for Balancing Preservation and Modernization," ESP J. Eng. Technol. Adv., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 83–97, 2021, doi: 10.56472/25832646/JETA-V1I1P111.

[33] D. M. T. E. Ali, V. Motuzienė, and R. Džiugaitė-Tumėnienė, "AI-Driven Innovations in Building Energy Management Systems: A Review of Potential Applications and Energy Savings," Energies, vol. 17, no. 17, p. 4277, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.3390/en17174277.

[34] S. U. Khan, N. Khan, F. U. M. Ullah, M. J. Kim, M. Y. Lee, and S. W. Baik, "Towards intelligent building energy management: AI-based framework for power consumption and generation forecasting," Energy Build., vol. 279, p. 112705, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112705.

[35] E. Lucchi, "Energy efficiency in historic buildings: a tool for analysing the compatibility, integration and reversibility of renewable energy technologies," in World Renewable Energy Congress 2011 low-energy architecture (LEA), 2011, vol. 9.

[36] G. Akyol and A. B. Avci, "AI APPLICATIONS IN CULTURAL HERITAGE PRESERVATION : TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS FOR THE CONSERVATION," in 4. BASKENT INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MULTIDISCIPLINARY STUDIES, 2023, pp. 94–101, doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24077862.

[37] L. M. Khodeir, D. Aly, and S. Tarek, "Integrating HBIM (Heritage Building Information Modeling) Tools in the Application of Sustainable Retrofitting of Heritage Buildings in Egypt," Procedia Environ. Sci., vol. 34, pp. 258–270, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.proenv.2016.04.024.

[38] A. Martinez-Molina, K. Williamson, and W. Dupont, "Thermal comfort assessment of stone historic religious buildings in a hot and humid climate during cooling season. A case study," Energy Build., vol. 262, p. 111997, 2022.

[39] P. Kofinas, G. Vouros, and A. I. Dounis, "Energy management in solar microgrid via reinforcement learning using fuzzy reward," Adv. Build. Energy Res., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 97–115, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1080/17512549.2017.1314832.

[40] J. Gottsche, M. Kelly, and M. Taggart, "Assessing the impact of energy management initiatives on the energy usage during the construction phase of an educational building project in Ireland," Constr. Manag. Econ., vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 46–60, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1080/01446193.2016.1162317.